UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/577,231	05/23/2000	Lundy Lewis	019287-0317297	3634	
909 7590 10/30/2009 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER		
			ENGLAND, DAVID E		
MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2443		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/577,231	LEWIS, LUNDY		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DAVID E. ENGLAND	2443		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Am</u>	nendment after Non-Final		
(1) <u>DAVID E. ENGLAND</u> .	(3)			
(2) Syed Jafar Ali Reg. No. 58780.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 October 2009	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 4,13,27,49				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance	
/David E. England/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted the Attorney of record to discuss the claims and possible amendments to the claims to have them allowed. Examiner stated that claim 4 was not allowable but claims 13, 27 and 49 would be allowable if they applied a small amendment. The final paragraph/limitation has an "or" statement which could be interpreted as an alternative instead of both, i.e., in the specification it states that the invention looks at both domain information, the "or" statement would leave one to interpret that only one would have to be domain would have to be looked at. The Attorney of record stated that they could break up the limitation to determine which domain and the identifying at least one in that domain to have caused the problem. Examiner awaits the Attorney's claim draft to finalize the amendment. Furthermore, a terminal disclaimer would have to be filed so Double patenting rejections can be avoided with applications 09/577232, 09577224 and patent 7600007.